1 2

3

4

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION

No. M 07-1827 SI MDL No. 1827

No. C 10-5458 SI

This Order Relates To:
SB LIQUIDATION TRUST,

Plaintiff,

, Cl

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING PLAINTIFF TO SERVE DEFENDANT CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD. THROUGH ITS U.S. COUNSEL

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff has filed a motion to serve a foreign defendant, Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., through its U.S. counsel pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f)(3). The Court has previously heard and granted a number of similar motions in this MDL. *See*, *e.g.*, Order Re: Defendant Nexgen MediaTech Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss for Insufficient Service of Process; Quashing Service; and Granting Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Motion to Serve Nexgen Through its Counsel Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3), Nov. 19, 2008 (Master Docket No. 725); *see also* Master Docket Nos. 1309, 1657, 1779, 2109, 2532, 2584, 2747, 2748, 2825, 3079, 3217. Chunghwa has opposed these motions to preserve its objections to this manner of service, but has recognized this Court's inclination to permit service through its U.S. counsel.

Given the number of these motions, the Court is well acquainted with the content of Chunghwa's

¹Specifically, Chunghwa's opposition briefs have argued 1) that plaintiffs have not met the requirements for invoking alternative service under Rule 4(f)(3); and 2) that service through its U.S. counsel violates due process.

opposition.¹ In order to save Chunghwa the time and expense of filing another opposition brief, the Court rules as follows:

For the reasons set forth in its prior orders, the Court finds that service under Rule 4(f)(3) is both available to plaintiff and appropriate in this case. *See*, *e.g.*, *Rio Properties*, *Inc. v. Rio Intern. Interlink*, 284 F.3d 1007, 1014-15 (9th Cir. 2002) (holding that service of process under Rule 4(f)(3) is not a "last resort," but "merely one means among several which enables service of process on an international defendant"). Further, due to Chunghwa's active participation in this MDL for the past three years, the Court finds that service through its U.S. counsel will fully comport with due process. *See FMAC Loan Receivables v. Dagra*, 228 F.R.D. 531, 534 (E.D. Va. 2005) (finding service on defendant through his attorney complied with due process because the numerous motions filed by defendant's attorney made it "abundantly clear" that the two had been in constant communication).

Absent further objection from Chunghwa, plaintiff may serve Chunghwa through its U.S. counsel <u>after September 2, 2011</u>. If Chunghwa has a specific objection not already addressed by the prior orders of this Court, it may file an opposition before that date.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown, the Court hereby GRANTS plaintiff's motion to serve Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. through its U.S. counsel pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f)(3). Docket No. 33 in C 10-5458; Docket No. 3249 in M 07-1827. Absent objection from Chunghwa, plaintiff may serve Chunghwa through counsel after September 2, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 23, 2011

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge